FITCH AFFIRMS MEMPHIS AIRPORT GARBS AT 'A'; OUTLOOK STABLE

Fitch Ratings-San Francisco-12 August 2016: Fitch Ratings has affirmed the 'A' rating on Memphis-Shelby County Airport Authority, Tennessee's (the authority) outstanding \$282 million general airport revenue bonds (GARBs). The Rating Outlook is Stable.

The rating is supported by Memphis International Airport's (the airport) small but nearly 100% origin and destination (O&D) traffic base, moderate carrier diversification, and the presence of Federal Express' (FedEx) massive cargo operations, which helps to mitigate passenger volume declines. Although Delta's recent de-hubbing resulted in substantial enplanement losses and higher cost per enplanement (CPE) in the \$13 range, leverage is relatively moderate under 2x, and the residual nature of the Airport Use and Lease (AUL) agreement helps maintain a minimum debt service coverage within the A category.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Revenue Risk- Volume: Midrange

O&D Airport with Sizeable Cargo Operations: The airport benefits from moderate carrier diversification, limited competition from other airports in the region, and a nearly 100% O&D traffic profile. Delta's de-hubbing of the airport is substantially complete, and Fitch expects minor enplanement growth moving forward based on O&D market growth and positive carrier capacity trends. Passenger volume risks are mitigated by the long-term and expansive presence of Federal Express (FedEx) cargo operations, which make up about 90% of the airport's total landed weight

Revenue Risk- Price: Midrange

Residual AUL with High CPE: Fitch views positively the airport's fully residual AUL, renewed through fiscal 2017, enabling it to fully recover net operational costs from carriers. Recent years' substantial enplanement losses more than doubled CPE to \$13.34 in fiscal 2015, which is high for a small airport but has not yet meaningfully deterred airlines from launching new routes or maintaining existing ones.

Infrastructure Renewal and Development: Stronger

Historically Manageable CIP: The airport's capital needs historically have been manageable with no debt financing needs. The current capital improvements plan (CIP) is sizeable totalling \$417 million and includes a major concourse modernization project along with various airfield and warehouse upgrades. The authority does expect to issue additional debt to fund the CIP, and has met extensively with current airlines to notify them accordingly.

Debt Structure: Stronger

Conservative Debt Structure: The airport's debt is 100% fixed rate, fully amortizing, and steps down in stages through maturity. The debt service reserve fund is sized to the maximum allowed by the IRS and is predominantly cash-funded.

Low Debt with Stable Financial Metrics

The airport benefits from low leverage levels, with unaudited actual fiscal 2016 net debt to cash flow available for debt service (CFADS) of 1.72x. As is consistent with many small hub airports that use residual AULs, the debt service coverage ratio (DSCR) is generally constrained, resulting in the airport's 1.34x coverage (1.08x excluding a rolling coverage account); however, DSCR tends to be quite stable.

Peers

Louisville ('A+'/Stable Outlook) and Indianapolis ('A'/Stable Outlook) are comparable to Memphis given their large cargo operations, with Memphis benefitting from the largest presence. Louisville's higher rating level reflects significantly lower CPE levels and stronger financial metrics. Indianapolis exhibits lower carrier concentration and a larger O&D base than Louisville, though these advantages are offset by higher leverage levels.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Negative: Sizeable bond issuances above Fitch's expectations may lead to negative rating action.

Negative: A significant CPE increase from already high levels (as defined by committee), leading to a demonstrable loss of competitiveness, or a sustained decrease in DSCR below 1.25x.

Negative: A material and sustained reduction of the airport's O&D enplanement base or cargo volumes.

Positive: Due to recent years' substantial deterioration of the airport's enplanement base and CPE, Fitch views positive rating action over the short-to-intermediate term as unlikely.

SUMMARY OF CREDIT

The airport's enplanement base recovered in fiscal 2016 for the first time in six years, growing to 1.97 million from 1.79 million the year prior (a 9.8% gain). Enplanements fell 8.5% in fiscal 2015 and are down a substantial 62% from fiscal 2008, predominantly reflecting Delta's decision to dehub the airport. The de-hubbing process was substantially completed in fiscal 2015. As a result, Delta's market share fell to a moderate 34% in fiscal 2016 from a concentrated 77% as recently as fiscal 2013.

Fitch now expects enplanement levels will likely stabilize and grow modestly based on expectations of continued yet measured O&D growth following two consecutive years of O&D gains. Also, carriers have been backfilling routes cut by Delta and have been increasing capacity by up-gauging aircraft. Despite expectations of modest growth, management is conservatively projecting a small enplanement decline in fiscal 2017 followed by no growth through its five-year forecast. Fitch views the airport's enplanement projections as prudent for budgeting purposes and has included them in the base case projections below.

While enplanements have declined dramatically in recent years, the presence of FedEx balances the airfield operations of the airport and provides some cushion, which makes the airport unique from other airports that have been de-hubbed. Memphis has been the worldwide leader in air cargo tonnage throughput most of the past twenty years. Total enplaned cargo increased 1.4% in fiscal 2015 to 4.7 billion pounds from 4.6 billion the year prior. The lease agreement with FedEx runs for 30 years from 2007 with two 10-year renewal options. FedEx accounted for about 99% of total cargo volume at the airport in fiscal 2015, and has represented at least 92% of such activity since fiscal 1992.

The authority's five-year AUL expires in June 2017 and signatories include every major passenger and cargo airline. The extension of the agreement in the midst of the Delta de-hubbing suggests airlines are willing to tolerate rising CPE through the 2017 AUL expiration, at which point debt service will drop by \$12.8 million and CPE will drop in lock-step.

The authority's sizeable five-year CIP, which totals \$417 million, will include a major terminal modernization project as well as large airfield improvements. The airport expects additional public and private debt issuances sometime in 2017 (FY2018), which totals an estimated \$140 million.

Fiscal 2015 operating revenues decreased to \$100 million from \$113 million the year prior, reflective of lower airfield and terminal rental income. Unaudited fiscal 2016 financial performance was much improved, with revenues growing 6% and expenditures increasing just 2.5%.

Debt service coverage of 1.42x (1.17x excluding a rolling coverage account) in fiscal 2015 was lower than prior year expectations. Unaudited actual financial performance for fiscal 2016 points to a slightly lower DSCR of 1.34x (1.08x). The authority projects coverage of 1.25x (inclusive of a rolling coverage account) moving forward as is consistent with the residual nature of the AUL. Cash levels have generally increased over the past several years and, at 272 days cash on hand in 2015, and 280 days in 2016. Fitch considers cash levels to be sound for the rating level.

Fitch's base case scenario is derived from the authority's projections, which incorporate conservative enplanement projections noted above and a high 3.5% expenditure growth rate from fiscal years 2016 - 2021. Fitch expects CPE to remain in the \$12-\$13 range, though the authority calculates that CPE will fall to below \$10 in fiscal in the coming years, due to declining debt service, and will increase modestly thereafter. Maximum leverage (net debt to CFADS) is projected at 2.43x in fiscal 2017 and drops to lower levels thereafter.

The airport's financial metrics hold up adequately under Fitch's rating case scenario, which assumes a hypothetical recessionary 10% enplanement loss in fiscal 2017 followed by 2% annual growth through the remainder of the forecast period. Fitch also incorporated the estimated \$140 million in additional debt issuances in fiscal 2018. Under this scenario leverage would peak at a maximum of 3.61x in 2018, and decline over time. Due to the additional debt service requirements, Fitch-calculated CPE would rise to a peak of \$16.41 in fiscal 2018 and decline thereafter. Fitch notes that the authority uses a different basis to calculate CPE that would have resulted in a moderately lower CPE ratio under Fitch's rating case.

Contact:

Primary Analyst Scott Monroe Director +1-415-732-5618 Fitch Ratings, Inc. 650 California Street San Francisco, CA 94108

Secondary Analyst Seth Lehman Senior Director +1-212-908-0755

Committee Chairperson Chad Lewis Senior Director +1-212-908-0886

Media Relations: Sandro Scenga, New York, Tel: +1 212-908-0278, Email: sandro.scenga@fitchratings.com.

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com.

Applicable Criteria Rating Criteria for Airports (pub. 25 Feb 2016) https://www.fitchratings.com/creditdesk/reports/report_frame.cfm?rpt_id=877676 Rating Criteria for Infrastructure and Project Finance (pub. 08 Jul 2016) https://www.fitchratings.com/creditdesk/reports/report_frame.cfm?rpt_id=882594

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND **DISCLAIMERS** BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: HTTP://FITCHRATINGS.COM/ UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS. IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEBSITE 'WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM'. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE 'CODE OF CONDUCT' SECTION OF THIS SITE. FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2016 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent thirdparty verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001